

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,703		10/30/2001		Bardia Pezeshki	47475/DMC/S965	2766	
	23363 7590 11/04/2003				EXAMINER		
			& HALE, LLI O BOULEVARI		SIKDER, MOHAMMAD YUNUS		
	SUITE 500		O BOOLE VING		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	PASADENA,	CA 911	05		2872	·	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					M					
v		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	0					
		10/002,70)3	PEZESHKI ET A	PEZESHKI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		MOHAMM								
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appears on the	cover sh	eet with the correspond nce a	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>30 October 200</u>	<u>)1</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is	non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
· _	ion of Claims									
4)[2]	Claim(s) <u>1-94</u> is/are pending in the a	• •	ncidoratio	un.						
£Λ□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
·	Claim(s) <u>1-94</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election rea	uirement							
•	ion Papers	on and/or election req	direment	•						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected t	o by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed	d on is: a)[] a	pproved b	o)	ner.					
•	If approved, corrected drawings are rec	quired in reply to this Of	fice action							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n receive	d.						
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n receive	d in Application No						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) 🗌 A) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen	•									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N tice of Informal Patent Application (P ner:						

Application/Control Number: 10/002,703

Art Unit: 2872

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-32, drawn to optical transmission method, classified in class 372,

Page 2

subclass 50.

II. Claims 33-94, drawn to optical transmission control, classified in class

385, subclass 31.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The

inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially

different apparatus.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the

search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

a) fig. 7,

b) fig. 8,

c) fig. 9,

d) fig. 11,

Application/Control Number: 10/002,703 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

e) fig. 12,

f) fig. 13,

g) fig. 14,

h) fig. 15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to identify claims directed to different species and elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it is not clear which claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Daniel Cavanagh on 10/30/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

CONTACT INFORMATION

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2870 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2870 via the PTO Fax center located in the Crystal Plaza 4. Faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP-4 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to M. Sikder whose telephone number is (703) 305-5471.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
Monday, November 03, 2003